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1. Students have the right to form a Gay Straight Alliance.
   a. True, but only in schools in King County
   b. True, but only in public schools in Washington State
   c. True, in federally-funded public secondary schools with other non-
curricular clubs anywhere in the U.S.
   d. False

2. School districts in Washington State must ban bullying based on what real or
   perceived characteristics?
   a. Race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, disability, sexual
   orientation, and gender expression or identity
   b. Race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, disability, and
   sexual orientation (but not gender expression and identity)
   c. Race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, and disability (but
   neither sexual orientation nor gender identity)
   d. None of the above; it is a local school board decision

3. Students in Washington State have a right to express their opinions about sexual
   orientation (pro or con) at school, unless their expression:
   a. Physically harms a student or damages the student's property.
   b. Has the effect of substantially interfering with a student's education.
   c. Is so severe, persistent or pervasive that it creates an intimidating or
   threatening educational environment.
   d. Substantially disrupts the orderly operation of the school.
   e. A and C
   f. all of the above

4. By law, all school districts in Washington State must teach about sexual diversity
   (biological sex, gender identity & expression, sexual orientation).
   a. True, in all grades
   b. True, in secondary schools
   c. True, in public secondary schools
   d. False; it is a local school board decision

5. A public school may not discriminate against a student based on the student's
   gender nonconformity.
   a. True, in Washington State
   b. True, in 12 other states but not in Washington
   c. True, anywhere in the United States
   d. A and C
   e. None of the above

6. Do public school students have a legal right to participate in the Day of Silence?
   a. Yes, in most circumstances. It's a matter of freedom of speech
   b. No, unless the school approves the event in advance.
   c. No, it's a disruption of the school environment
7. Does a school have to allow a student to take a same-gender date to prom?
   a. Yes, it's a matter of free speech and equal treatment under law
   b. Maybe
   c. No, a school can prohibit that as a matter of safety

8. Do students have a right to come out (to tell people they’re LGBTQ)?
   a. Yes.
   b. Yes, unless the school considers that unsafe
   c. Only if they are at least eighteen.
   d. No, it isn’t a protected behavior

9. Do teachers have a legal right to come out to their students (to tell people they’re LGBTQ)?
   a. Yes, it’s federal law
   b. Not legally, but many collective bargaining agreements have an “academic freedom” provision
   c. Washington law probably allows a teacher to come out if it serves the students’ needs.
   d. B and C

10. Do students have a legal right to hold hands with their same-gender girlfriend or boyfriend at school?
    a. Yes, if heterosexual couples hold hands at school
    b. No, there’s no legal right to public displays of affection
    c. No, but some districts’ policies protect the right
    d. A and B

11. Does a principal have a legal right to let parents know if a student comes out (as LGBTQ) at school?
    a. Yes, and parents have a right to know if their child is LGBTQ
    b. Yes, under Washington State law, but not federal law
    c. Probably not.
    d. No, the student has a right to privacy.

12. Does a student have a legal right in Washington State to use the bathroom that conforms to their gender identity?
    a. Probably
    b. Yes, but only with parent or guardian written request
    c. No

13. Is there a legal right to have graffiti about you or slurs about people of your race, religion, etc. removed from school property in a timely way?
    a. Yes, under Washington State law
    b. Yes, if it is about them personally, but not if it disparages a class of people
    c. No, but some districts provide that protection by policy

14. Do school employees have a legal responsibility to take action when they hear anti-LGBT slurs in the hallways or on the playground?
    a. In most circumstances, yes, under both state and federal law
    b. Not federally, but in Washington State that’s the law
    c. No, but some districts provide that protection by policy
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Answer Key

1. Students have the right to form a Gay Straight Alliance.
   a. True, but only in schools in King County
   b. True, but only in public schools in Washington State
   c. True, in federally-funded public secondary schools with other non-curricular clubs anywhere in the U.S. (per the U.S. Equal Access Act)
   d. False

2. School districts in Washington State must ban bullying based on what real or perceived characteristics?
   a. Race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, disability, sexual orientation, and gender expression or identity
      Every district must ban these kinds of harassment, per Washington State’s 2002 anti-bullying law, which references the state’s malicious harassment law, which defines “sexual orientation,” as of 2009, as including “gender expression and identity.”
   b. Race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, disability, and sexual orientation (but not gender expression and identity)
   c. Race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, gender, and disability (but neither sexual orientation nor gender identity)
   d. None of the above; it is a local school board decision

3. Students in Washington State have a right to express their opinions about sexual orientation (pro or con) at school, unless their expression:
   a. Physically harms a student or damages the student's property.
   b. Has the effect of substantially interfering with a student's education.
   c. Is so severe, persistent or pervasive that it creates an intimidating or threatening educational environment.
   d. Substantially disrupts the orderly operation of the school.
   e. A and C
   f. all of the above (per Washington State’s 2002 anti-bullying law and constitutional free speech guarantees)
      Under the First Amendment, public school officials wishing to restrict student speech based on a fear of disruption may not simply assume that expression about sexual orientation will disrupt the school or that it will interfere with other students’ education. To censor speech for such reasons, school officials must show facts that reasonably lead them to conclude that the speech will cause a substantial disruption. Moreover, speech is not itself disruptive merely because other students respond inappropriately to it.

---

4 For more information on student speech rights, see Lambda Legal’s Out, Safe & Respected, available at www.lambdalegal.org/osr. Click on the “Speak Out” section.
4. By law, all school districts in Washington State must teach about sexual diversity (biological sex, gender identity & expression, sexual orientation).
   a. True, in all grades
   b. True, in secondary schools
   c. True, in public secondary schools
d. **False; it is a local school board decision**
   Washington is largely a “local control” state in terms of elementary and secondary instruction.\(^5\) Some states actually prohibit positive portrayals of LGBTQ people in the schools; Washington isn’t one of them. That said, “local control” doesn’t supersede state standards. The 2007 Washington State Healthy Youth Act\(^6\) does require that, if a district chooses to teach about sexual health, they must do so in a way that is medically and scientifically accurate and “appropriate for students regardless of gender, race, disability status, or sexual orientation.” And Washington State social studies standards – called EALRs\(^7\) -- do require that, in primary grades, students learn to describe who can be in a family. They require that middle schoolers learn to recognize stereotypes, clichés and bias and to understand events and movements. They require that, in high school, people learn to identify and analyze major concepts, people and events in world history including challenges to human rights.

5. A school may not discriminate against a student based on the student’s gender nonconformity.
   a. True, in Washington State
   b. True, in 12 other states but not in Washington
   c. True, anywhere in the United States
d. **A and probably C**
   In 2006, Washington’s Law Against Discrimination,\(^8\) which already prohibited various other kinds of discrimination in, among other things, employment and public accommodation [which includes public schools], was amended to prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity and expression. Now at least 15 states, plus the District of Columbia, have statutes expressly protecting students against discrimination based on sexual orientation, with an increasing number protecting against gender –identity discrimination as well. In other states, students may find LGBT-inclusive antidiscrimination protections in administrative regulations, local ordinances, and/or board of education policies. Courts in various parts of the country have properly recognized, in fact, that federal civil rights protections – including Title IX and the Constitution’s Equal Protection Clause – prohibit irrational discrimination based on gender stereotypes in schools, regardless of what state law provides. Unfortunately, not all courts have been receptive to claims alleging discrimination based on sex and gender stereotypes. The Supreme Court has only provided limited guidance on these issues.
   e. None of the above

---

\(^5\) See [Funding Washington Schools](http://www.fundingwaschools.org/index_files/Local_Control_SchoolBoards_Funding_WA_K12_Schools.htm) (last visited on November 16, 2009):

\(^6\) See WA State’s [comprehensive sex ed law](http://www.k12.wa.us/CurriculumInstruct/healthfitness/HealthyYouthact.aspx) (RCW 28A.300.475)

\(^7\) See Social Studies standards: [http://www.k12.wa.us/SocialStudies/EARLs-GLEs.aspx](http://www.k12.wa.us/SocialStudies/EARLs-GLEs.aspx)

\(^8\) See WA State’s [non-discrimination law](http://apps.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=49.60.030) (RCW 49.60.030)
6. Do public school students have a legal right to participate in the Day of Silence?
   a. **In most circumstances, yes. It’s a matter of freedom of speech.**
      Students generally have the right to express their opinion at school, including by wearing messages expressing their ideas or by participating in events like the Day of Silence, during which they take a vow of silence for all or part of the school day.

      Courts have said, however, that there are some limits on students’ free speech rights at school [and more limits on employees’ free speech rights]. For instance, “if a teacher tells a student to answer a question during class, the student generally doesn’t have a constitutional right to refuse to answer. Students who want to remain silent during class on the Day of Silence are less likely to encounter problems if they seek permission from their teachers beforehand. Outside of the classroom, in areas like hallways and cafeterias, students have a much broader right to free speech [and should be able participate in the Day of Silence during non-instructional time without fear of discipline].”

      Students participating in the Day of Silence also have a right of equal access to school facilities. For example, if a school allows students to display posters on campus about some events, it can’t prohibit this event’s posters based on their viewpoint. That would violate the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and in some cases, the Equal Access Act as well.

      Some administrators have argued that they need to prevent disruption. The courts are unconvinced. Lambda Legal explains, “schools can’t censor students just because other students might respond in a disruptive way. If students who disagree with a speaker’s ideas create a disruption, the school can punish the disruptive students but can’t punish the speaker.”

      As for getting advanced approval from the school, students generally don’t need to seek permission to participate in the Day of Silence by remaining silent during their free time at school. Still, many students find that they have a more successful event if they explain the Day of Silence ahead of time to teachers and administrators, who may not understand the event or know that students have a First Amendment right to participate. Moreover, in some school contexts – like the classroom – students have much more limited rights, as explained above, so seeking advance permission can be useful. There’s generally no constitutional right to refuse to answer a question in class.

   b. No, unless the school approves the event in advance.
   c. No, it’s a disruption of the school environment

7. Does a school have to allow a student to take a same-gender date to prom?
   a. **Yes, it’s a matter of free speech and equal treatment under law.**
      Back in 1980, when Aaron Fricke sued his high school for the right to bring his boyfriend to prom, the court found in his favor on the basis of the free speech argument. The First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution protected his freedom of expression. In 1996, in another case involving peer-on-peer harassment, when

---


10 See the *Bill of Rights* [http://www.archives.gov/exhibits/charters/bill_of_rights_transcript.html](http://www.archives.gov/exhibits/charters/bill_of_rights_transcript.html). See also note 1, above.

11 For more information on the *Day of Silence*, go to [www.lambdalegal.org/day-of-silence](http://www.lambdalegal.org/day-of-silence), and click on “frequently asked legal questions.”
Jamie Nabozny sued his middle and high school principals for treating his bullying less seriously because he was gay, the courts found in his favor on the basis of equal protection. They said that the U.S. Constitution guarantees that the government, including a public school administration, can’t change the rules for one group of people without a rational basis. Jamie won almost a million dollars. As for the safety argument, courts have said that a school can and must take steps to protect a student attending prom, rather than punishing the student for other students’ beliefs.)

b. Maybe  
c. No, a school can prohibit that as a matter of safety

8. Do students have a right to come out (to tell people they’re LGBTQ)?
   a. Yes.
      See what we said about safety in #7, above. That’s not a legitimate excuse for abridging student speech. Otherwise, a student has significant rights. The ACLU says, “Sometimes schools try to silence students who are open about their sexual orientation. But you have a Constitutional right to be out of the closet at school if you want to be. Sometimes schools punish students for talking about being gay. Sometimes schools censor students for wearing gay-themed t-shirts, even when the shirts aren’t obscene and other students are allowed to wear t-shirts expressing their views on political or cultural issues. In Tinker v. Des Moines, over 30 years ago, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that students don’t ‘shed their constitutional rights to freedom of speech at the schoolhouse gate.’” Of course, regardless of what students choose to say, they don’t have a right to express themselves in a way that causes substantial disruptions to the school. [See Question 3].
   b. Yes, unless the school considers that unsafe  
c. Only if they are at least eighteen  
d. No, it isn’t a protected behavior

9. Do teachers have a right to come out to their students (to tell people they’re LGBTQ)?
   a. Yes, it’s federal law  
b. Not legally, but many collective bargaining agreements have an “academic freedom” provision  
c. Washington law probably allows a teacher to come out if it serves the students’ needs.
   d. B and C
      The decision to come out should always be based on students’ needs, regardless of a teacher’s contractual or legal rights. That said, students of all sexual orientations and gender identities do need diverse role models who object to anti-LGBTQ harassment and there are often curricular, pedagogically defensible grounds for a teacher’s coming out, as well. Washington State’s Law Against Discrimination hasn’t been tested in the courts with respect to teachers’

---


coming out. We hope that, if heterosexual teachers are allowed to talk about their partners in class and if non-transgender teachers can explain in a class discussion that theirs is a male – or a female – point of view, then the courts would consider it discriminatory for a district to apply a gag rule only to its LGBTQ employees.

10. Do students have a legal right to hold hands with their same-gender girlfriend or boyfriend at school?
   a. Yes, if heterosexual couples hold hands at school
   b. No, there’s no legal right to public displays of affection
   c. No, but some districts’ policies protect the right
   d. A and B.
      There’s no legal right to public displays of affection, but there is a right to equal treatment by staff in a public school. It’s a matter of “equal protection” under the U.S. Constitution that the government cannot have different rules for one group of individuals than for another.

11. Does a principal have a legal right to let parents know if a student comes out (as LGBTQ) at school?
   a. Yes, and parents have a right to know if their child is LGBTQ
   b. Yes, under Washington State law, but not federal law
   c. Probably not.
      In 1997, a federal appeals court found that police officers violated a teen’s right to privacy under the U.S. Constitution when they even threatened to tell the teen’s family he was dating a boy. Then in 2006, another federal court found that a student had a protected privacy interest in the “non-disclosure of her sexual orientation” to her family. However, the court also held that school officials did not violate the student’s privacy rights under the specific circumstances of that case, because the student’s sexual orientation was only revealed to her mother in the context of disciplinary action after the student had engaged in prohibited public displays of affection at school.
   d. No, the student has a right to privacy.

12. Does a student have a legal right in Washington State to use the bathroom that conforms to their gender identity?
   a. Probably.
      Courts in California have found that their anti-discrimination law covered bathroom access. Our law in Washington about discrimination in public accommodations such as schools is too new for this to have been tested. That said, some cities and school districts do provide that protection under local statute or district policy. In some colleges and universities, students are afforded at least the right to a single stall, gender-neutral restroom. And a federal court did find in 2002 that a public school was properly protecting a

14 ibid
16 See the Transgender Law Center’s publication Peeing in Peace: http://transgenderlawcenter.org/pdf/PIP%20Resource%20Guide.pdf
17 See the Transgender Law & Policy Institute’s Colleges/Universities and K-12 Schools page: http://www.transgenderlaw.org/college/index.htm
transgender teacher from discrimination by providing an alternate bathroom to
another teacher who objected to sharing the bathroom with her.\textsuperscript{18}

b. Yes, but only with parent or guardian written request

c. No

13. Is there a legal right to have graffiti about you or slurs about people of your race,
religion, etc. removed from school property in a timely way?

a. Yes, under Washington State law

b. Yes, if it is about them personally, but not if it disparages a class of people

c. No, but some districts provide that protection by policy.\textsuperscript{19}

That said, while students generally don’t have an affirmative right to have graffiti
removed, public school officials do violate federal law if they deliberately ignore
severe, pervasive and objectively offensive peer harassment based on sexual
orientation. In some cases, anti-LGBT graffiti may be one component of such
harassment, in which case school officials may have a legal obligation to remove
it. Moreover, if a school generally has a policy or practice of removing graffiti, but
allows anti-LGBT graffiti to remain, that policy or practice could constitute illegal
discrimination.

14. Do school employees have a legal responsibility to take action when they hear anti-
LGBT slurs in the hallways or on the playground?

a. In most circumstances, yes, under both state and federal law.

Public school employees have no freestanding constitutional obligation to take
action against name-calling. However, if school employees take action against
some kinds of harassment (as they mostly do when they’re aware of it), they
can’t irrationally choose to ignore other kinds of mistreatment. This means they
cannot treat harassment less seriously just because it is anti-LGBT in nature.\textsuperscript{20}

Non-discrimination with respect to sexual orientation and gender identity is also a
matter of Washington State law.\textsuperscript{21} That said, failure to respond to a single
incident of anti-LGBT name-calling is not likely to result in a finding that a
student’s rights were violated, either under state or federal law. Discriminatory
harassment generally must reach a certain level of severity and/or pervasiveness
before a school or its officials can be held liable for failing to address it.

b. Not federally, but in Washington State that’s the law

b. No, but some districts provide that protection by policy

---

\textsuperscript{18} See this ACLU press release: http://www.aclu.org/lgbt/transgender/11837prs20020620.html

\textsuperscript{19} See this guidance from the U.S. Department of Education Office of Civil Rights:
www.ed.gov/offices/OCR/archives/Harassment/Incidents1.html and these examples:
www.calaveras.k12.ca.us/03%20Board%20Meetings/agendas/backup/5131_6bp.pdf;
www.stvrain.k12.co.us/boardOfEducation/meetingsMinutes/2006/03/08/minutes.pdf;
www.swanshurst.org%5CDocuments%5Cgeneral%5CRace%20Equality%20Policy.pdf;
www.haddonfield.k12.nj.us/district_info/Procedures/ProceduresPDF/Series-8000/8465%20-
%20Reporting%20Hate%20Crimes%20and%20Bias-Related%20Acts.pdf

\textsuperscript{20} Court cases applying these principles include Flores v. Morgan Hill Unified Sch. Dist., 324 F.3d 1130 (9th
Cir. 2003); Nabozny v. Podlesny, 92 F.3d 446 (1996); Seiwert v. Spencer-Owen Comm’y Sch. Corp., 497
1081 (D. Minn. 2000). See also this page from the ACLU of Washington about a lawsuit
brought by former high school student Mark Iversen: www.aclu-wa.org/detail.cfm?id=174

\textsuperscript{21} Washington Revised Code §§ 49.60.010, 49.60.040, 49.60.215. See also The Washington State
Human Rights Commission page about the complaint process: www.hum.wa.gov/complaintProcess/